

EXHIBIT O

Robert J. Lack
FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER & ADELMAN LLP
One Gateway Center, 25th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5311
(973) 297-4700
Attorneys for Plaintiff Novo Nordisk A/S

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

-----X	:	
NOVO NORDISK A/S,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Civil Action No. _____
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC and	:	
SANOFI-AVENTIS,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Novo Nordisk A/S ("Novo"), with its principal place of business at Novo Allé, 2880 Bagsværd, Denmark, by its undersigned attorneys, hereby alleges as follows for its Complaint against defendants Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC ("Sanofi US"), 55 Corporate Drive, Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807, and Sanofi-Aventis ("Sanofi"), 174, avenue de France, 75013 Paris, France (collectively "Defendants"):

Introduction

1. Pursuant to Title 35 of the United States Code, this is an action brought by Novo complaining of Defendants' infringement of a United States patent owned by Novo.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States. Novo asserts claims for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
4. Sanofi US has its principal place of business in Bridgewater, New Jersey and thus is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.
5. Sanofi has its principal place of business in Paris, France.
6. On information and belief, Sanofi is doing business within this State and District both directly and indirectly, derives substantial revenue from business transacted within this State and District, has committed acts of patent infringement within this State and District and thus is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.
7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Defendants reside in this District and because defendants have committed acts of infringement and have a regular place of business in this District.

Parties

8. Plaintiff Novo is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Kingdom of Denmark and has its principal place of business in Bagsværd, Denmark.

9. Defendant Sanofi US is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in this District.

10. Defendant Sanofi is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of France and has its principal place of business in Paris, France.

The Patent

11. On July 10, 2007, United States Patent No. 7,241,278 (the "Patent") was duly and legally issued to Novo Nordisk A/S.

12. Novo is the owner of the Patent.

Defendants' Infringing Activities

13. On information and belief, Defendants are selling or will soon begin to sell in the United States a device called SoloSTAR. SoloSTAR is a prefilled disposable insulin injection device (or "pen") for use by patients in the treatment of diabetes.

14. Defendants are infringing or will soon begin to infringe the Patent by importing the SoloSTAR product to the United States and selling and/or offering to sell the SoloSTAR product in the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

15. Sanofi is inducing and/or contributing to infringement of the Patent, or will soon begin to induce and/or contribute to the infringement of the Patent, by making, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the SoloSTAR product in the United States, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c).

16. As a result of Defendants' infringing activity, Novo is suffering and will -- unless Defendants are enjoined -- continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Novo is thus entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT I
(Infringement of the Patent)

17. Novo repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 16 of its Complaint as though fully set forth in this paragraph.

18. Novo owns all rights, title and interest to the validly issued Patent.

19. Sanofi US is infringing or will soon begin to infringe the Patent by selling and/or offering to sell the SoloSTAR product in the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

20. Sanofi US is infringing or will soon begin to infringe the Patent by importing the SoloSTAR product to the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

21. Sanofi is infringing or will soon begin to infringe the Patent by selling and/or offering to sell the SoloSTAR product in the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

22. Sanofi is infringing or will soon begin to infringe the Patent by importing the SoloSTAR product to the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

23. In the alternative, Sanofi is inducing and/or contributing to infringement of the Patent, or will soon begin to induce and/or contribute to the infringement of the Patent, by making, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the SoloSTAR product in the United States, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c).

COUNT II
(Declaratory Judgment of Infringement)

24. Novo repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 23 of its Complaint as though fully set forth in this paragraph.

25. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties with respect to the Patent and the respective rights and obligations of the parties in connection with the Patent and Defendants' SoloSTAR device.

26. In light of the actual controversy existing between the parties, Novo requests, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that the Court determine and declare the rights and obligations of the parties as follows: Any sale and/or offer to sell SoloSTAR in the United States by Sanofi US or Sanofi, and any importation of SoloSTAR to the United States by Sanofi US or Sanofi is an infringement of the Patent.

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Novo demands judgment as follows:

A. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Sanofi US is infringing the Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;

B. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Sanofi is infringing the Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c);

C. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, from infringing the Patent, or contributing to or inducing infringement of the Patent, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 283;

D. Awarding Novo damages in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court; all of those damages to be enhanced for willfulness in an amount up to treble the amount of compensatory damages, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

E. Adjudging, finding, and declaring this to be an exceptional case entitling Novo to reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

F. Granting Novo such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Novo demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Dated: Newark, New Jersey
July 10, 2007

Of Counsel:

David B. Tulchin
James T. Williams
Joseph J. Reilly
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004-2498
(212) 558-4000

/s Robert J. Lack

Robert J. Lack
FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER &
ADELMAN LLP
One Gateway Center, 25th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5311
(973) 297-4700

Attorneys for Plaintiff Novo Nordisk A/S

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Jersey

Novo Nordisk A/S

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

V.

Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC and
Sanofi-Aventis

CASE NUMBER: 07-3206 (MLC)

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)

Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC
55 Corporate Drive
Bridgewater, NJ 08807

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Robert J. Lack
Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP
One Gateway Center, 25th Floor, Newark, NJ 07102

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within twenty days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

WILLIAM T. WALSH, CLERK

CLERK

(By) Jakira Hernandez
DEPUTY CLERK

DATE

July 13, 2007

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

RETURN OF SERVICE		
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DATE	
NAME OF SERVER (<i>PRINT</i>)	TITLE	
<i>Check one box below to indicate appropriate method of service</i>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Served personally upon the defendant. Place where served:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Returned unexecuted:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):</p>		
STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES		
TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL \$0.00
DECLARATION OF SERVER		
<p>I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.</p> <p>Executed on _____ Date _____ Signature of Server _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">_____ Address of Server</p>		

(1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

AD 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of

New Jersey

Novo Nordisk A/S

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

V.

Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC and
Sanofi-Aventis

CASE NUMBER: 07-3206(MLC)

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)

Sanofi-Aventis
174, avenue de France
75013 Paris, France

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Robert J. Lack
Friedman Kaplan Seller & Adelman LLP
One Gateway Center, 25th Floor, Newark, NJ 07102

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within twenty days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

WILLIAM T. WALSH, CLERK

CLERK

(By) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE

July 13, 2007

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

RETURN OF SERVICE		
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DATE	
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE	
<i>Check one box below to indicate appropriate method of service</i>		
<div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Served personally upon the defendant. Place where served:</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left: </div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Returned unexecuted:</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):</div>		
STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES		
TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL \$0.00
DECLARATION OF SERVER		
<p>I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.</p> <p>Executed on _____ <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> Date Signature of Server </div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> _____ Address of Server </div> </p>		

(1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
--	--

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
 filed in the U.S. District Court TRENTON on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. 07-3206	DATE FILED 7/13/2007	U.S. DISTRICT COURT TRENTON
PLAINTIFF NOVO NORDISK A/S		DEFENDANT SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC & SANOFI-AVENTIS
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

CLERK WILLIAM T. WALSH,	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE 7/13/2007
-----------------------------------	---	-------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

